

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,049		/10/2001	Ishai Nachumovsky	TSL-105	1005	
22888	7590	01/03/2003				
		& HARMS, LLP	EXAMINER			
2099 GATEV SUITE 320			WEISS, HOWARD			
SAN JOSE, CA 951101017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2814	2814	
				DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
`		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Antinus Co		09/975,049	NACHUMOVSKY, ISHAI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Howard Weiss	2814
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2002 .	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
	on of Claims	the application	
	Claim(s) 1-6,9,10 and 18-28 is/are pending in		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wil from consideration.	
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1-6,9,10 and 18-28 s/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alastica de Co	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		niner
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🗌 T	The proposed drawing correction filed on		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rece	eived.
Attachment(- Friency and or 00 0.0.0. 33 120	unu/ULIZI.
1) 🔀 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/975,049

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: TSL-105

Filing Date: 10/10/02

Continuing Data: none

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Nachumovsky

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang (U.S. Patent No. 6,329,248).

Yang shows all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figures 3) including:

- > a semiconductor region 20 of a first conductivity
- first and second source/drain regions 23,24 of a second conductivity opposite the fist conductivity and a channel region located between said first and second source/drain regions
- a silicon dioxide gate dielectric layer 13
- > first and second polysilicon floating gate electrodes 14 with a gap 17 therebetween
- a dielectric layer 16

➤ a polysilicon control gate 26 with a first portion extending into said gap and separated from the channel region by the dielectric layer and the gate dielectric layer

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang and Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,973,353).

Yang shows most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 2) except for the control gate having a metal silicide. Yang et al. teach (e.g. Figure 3) to put metal silicide **28** in a control gate to increase the conductivity of the control gate (Column 4 Lines 36 and 37). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to put metal silicide as taught by Yang et al. in the control gate of Yang to increase the conductivity of the control gate.

5. Claims 3 to 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang and Pham et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,242,306).

Yang shows most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 2) except for the first and second source/drain regions continuous with first and second bit lines, first and second oxide regions/layers located over said bit lines and said dielectric comprising ONO. Pham et al. teach (e.g. Figures 1 and 2) to make continuous first and second source/drain regions 14 continuous with first and second bit lines 36,38, first and second oxide regions/layers 19 located over said bit lines and a dielectric 17

comprising ONO 21-23 for making an improved EEPROM (Column 2 Lines 41 to 65). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make continuous first and second source/drain regions continuous with first and second bit lines, first and second oxide regions/layers located over said bit lines and a dielectric comprising ONO as taught by Pham et al. in the device of Yang for making an improved EEPROM.

6. Claims 18 to 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,281,545) and Pham et al..

Liang et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 8) including:

- a semiconductor region 12
- First and second source/drain regions 14',30',14",30" and a channel region located between said first and second source/drain regions
- ➤ a silicon dioxide gate dielectric layer 16 located over the channel region and portion of said first and second source/drain regions
- First 18' and second 18" polysilicon floating gate electrodes 14 with a gap therebetween
- an ONO dielectric layer 19 located over first sidewalls and upper surfaces of said first and second floating gates said first sidewalls defining said gap
- first and second sidewall oxide regions 28 located on second sidewalls of said floating gates
- > a polysilicon control gate located over said dielectric layer comprising a first polysilicon portion 20 extending into said gap and metal silicide 22

Liang et al. do not show the control gate over the first and second sidewall oxide regions, the first and second source/drain regions continuous with first and second bit lines and first and second oxide regions/layers located over said bit lines. Pham et al. teach (e.g. Figures 1 and 2) to make continuous first and second source/drain regions 14 continuous with first and second bit lines 36,38, first and second oxide

regions/layers 19 located over said bit lines and a control electrode formed over the sidewalls of the floating gates 24 for making an improved EEPROM (Column 2 Lines 41 to 65). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make continuous first and second source/drain regions continuous with first and second bit lines, first and second oxide regions/layers located over said bit lines and a control electrode formed over the sidewalls of the floating gates as taught by Pham et al. in the device of Liang et al. for making an improved EEPROM.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 to 6, 9, 10 and 18 to 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or -7724. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications. The official TC2800 Before-Final, (703) 872-9318, and After-Final, (703) 872-9319, Fax numbers will provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt of their fax by the USPTO.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at **(703) 308-4840** and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via **Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov**.



Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2800 Receptionist at **(703) 308-0956**.

10. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date			
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 316, 326	thru 12/30/02			
Other Documentation: none				
Electronic Database(s): EAST	thru 12/30/02			

HW/hw 31 December 2002 Howard Weiss Examiner Art Unit 2814